

SADCAS F 61 (e)

SADCAS Ref. No:				

PROFICIENCY TESTING AND INTER-LABORATORY COMPARISONS REQUIREMENTS ISO/IEC 17025:2017 CLAUSE 7.7 AND SADCAS REQUIREMENTS

Date(s) of evaluation						
Assessor						
Laboratory				Area / Field of operation		
Laboratory Representative						
REQUIREMENTS & COMMENTS Compliance = C, Non-compliance = NC, Not applicable = NA Comment below on adequacy of how requirements have been addressed, documented and/or implemented					C NC NA	
TECHNICAL REQUIREM	IENTS					
Proficiency Testing: Has the laboratory participated in Proficiency Testing (PT) or Inter Laboratory Comparisons (ILC)?						
If the laboratory has not participated in PT activities either because PT is either not practical or because none exist, has the laboratory taken appropriate steps to assure the Quality of Test and Calibration Results? (NB: The laboratory shall provide valid verifiable reasons of not participating in PT activities)						
(NB: The laboratory sno	provide vaiia verij	fiable reasons of i	not participating in Pi	activitiesj		
What alternative mean	s of monitoring the	validity of results	are in place?			
D : 1 1 : 1 (DT :						
Provide detail of PT activity or where appropriate alternative methods (e.g. Inter/Intra laboratory comparisons; Use of reference materials; testing blind samples, replicate testing as per Clause 7.7.1) to ensure the validity of test and/or calibratesults.						ation
						ļ

CONFIDENTIAL



SADCAS F 61 (e)

Appropriateness of PT Activity: How are the following addressed/implemented?
Is the amount of PT Activity (or alternative activities) appropriate to the volume and associated risk for the testing and/or
calibration activities of the laboratory?
·
Comment on Appropriateness
Additional / General Comments This space may also be used to expand on comments in specific sections

CONFIDENTIAL



SADCAS F 61 (e)

Analysis of Results of PT/ILC or data from alternative methods of comparison of results: How are the following				
addressed/implemented?				
				NA
Has the laboratory and	alyzed the results of the PT/Interlaboratory results (or altern	ative met	hods) and have	
appropriate steps bee	n taken when results are not satisfactory (En>1, or Z score >	3)		
Comments on analysis	of results			
la tha a labanatan na anna	siana in a anno marchiana a sisko markini akina in Duckini anno Taski	2		
is the laboratory expe	riencing any problems with participating in Proficiency Testi	ng?		
Comment on details a	nd corrective action measures taken			
Comment on details a	nu corrective action measures taken			
CALIBRATION/TESTIN	G LABORATORIES: SADCAS TR 04/SADCAS TR 08			
General requirements				
Has the laboratory pre	epared and implemented an activity plan that indicates how	and when	Proficiency Testing and/or	
Inter Laboratory Comp	parisons activities are to be implemented for the next 5 year	s?		
	cover all the major parameters and instruments listed on th	e laborato	ry's schedule of	
accreditation?				
Where the laboratory	has participated in informal ILC activities, is a report availab	le and doe	es it address the following:	
	n of the participants			
	n of the measurement standard or artefact			
Measureme				
Reference value(s) and how these were established				
	f the measurement results			
 Conclusion 				
Comment on activity p	olan and/or ILC reports			
Signed:				
Team Leader/		Date:		
Technical Assessor				